PRC2 directly methylates GATA4 and represses its transcriptional activity
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Polycomb-repressive complex 2 (PRC2) promotes tissue-specific differentiation by depositing trimethylated histone H3 Lys 27 [H3K27me3] epigenetic marks to silence ectopic gene expression programs. Here, we show that EZH2, the catalytic subunit of PRC2, is required for cardiac morphogenesis. Both in vitro and in fetal hearts, EZH2 interacted with cardiac transcription factor GATA4 and directly methylated it at Lys 299. PRC2 methylation of GATA4 attenuated its transcriptional activity by reducing its interaction with and acetylation by p300. Our results reveal a new mechanism of PRC2-mediated transcriptional repression in which PRC2 methylates a transcription factor to inhibit its transcriptional activity.

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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Results and Discussion

We previously described a system to express in vivo biotinylated GATA4 (He et al. 2011). In this system, dual adenoviruses express GATA4 bearing Flag and bio epitope tags (GATA4-flbio) and BirA, an Escherichia coli enzyme that recognizes and biotinylates the bio substrate peptide (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Transduction of the cardiomyocyte-like HL1 cell line (Claycomb et al. 1998; White et al. 2004) with these adenoviruses led to expression of biotinylated GATA4 at near-endogenous levels (Supplemental Fig. S1B,C). This system permitted high-affinity GATA4 pull-down on streptavidin (SA) beads. To identify transcriptional regulators that interact with and regulate GATA4 activity, we screened candidate factors for GATA4 binding and found that GATA4-flbio specifically coprecipitated the core PRC2 subunits EZH1, EZH2, and SUZ12 (Fig. 1A). The PRC2-associated factor JARID2 (Shen et al. 2009) was also coprecipitated. In contrast, non-PRC2 epigenetic regulators ASH2L, WDR5, and RbBP5 did not coprecipitate and supported specificity of the pull-down (Fig. 1A). Nuclease treatment did not reduce PRC2 coprecipitation, indicating that it was not a consequence of tethering through DNA. The interaction was confirmed by demonstrating GATA4-flbio in EZH2 immunoprecipitates (Fig. 1B).

To further define GATA4–PRC2 interactions, we used in vitro translation to synthesize and 35S-methionine-label GATA4-flbio and three core PRC2 components: EZH2, SUZ12, and EED. When individually incubated with GATA4-flbio, EZH2, but not EED or SUZ12, was recovered in Flag immunoprecipitates (Fig. 1C), indicating that GATA4-flbio interacts with EZH2. GATA4 protein–protein interactions frequently involve its DNA-binding domain. Therefore, we tested interaction of EZH2 with a chemically synthesized, biotinylated peptide (G4P1-flbio) corresponding to the minimal GATA4 DNA-binding domain, composed of the C-terminal zinc finger and adjoining basic region. Immune GATA4 antibodies 249–323 (G4P1) pulled down in vitro translated EZH2 (Fig. 1D), showing that the minimal GATA4 DNA-binding domain interacts with EZH2 and further supporting direct GATA4 interaction with EZH2.
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Figure 1. EZH2 interacted with GATA4. (A) GATA4<sub>flbio</sub> expressed in HL1 cells and precipitated on SA beads in the presence of bovine serum, coprecipitated PRC2 components EZH2, EZH1, SUZ12, and JARID2. ASH2, WD5, and RbBP5 were not detected in the precipitate. (B) EZH2, immuno-precipitated from HL1 cells expressing GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>, coprecipitated GATA4. Biotinylated GATA4 was detected with SA-HRP. (C) Flag-tagged EZH2, SUZ12, and Flag-tagged GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>, synthesized and <sup>35</sup>S-labeled in vitro, wereFlag-immunoprecipitated. EZH2 specifically interacted with GATA4. (D) Chemically synthesized G4P<sub>iso</sub> peptide (murine GATA4 residues 249–323) coprecipitated <sup>35</sup>S-labeled, in vitro translated EZH2 on SA beads. (E) Flag target strategy for generation of GATA4<sub>flbio</sub> knock-in mice. C-terminal Flag and bio epitope tags were placed on the GATA4 C terminus. (F) Nuclear extracts from GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>/flbio Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub>/<sub>BirA</sub> or GATA4<sup>+/+</sup> Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub>/<sub>BirA</sub> (control) E16.5 embryo hearts were incubated with SA beads. Coprecipitated EZH2 was detected by immunoblotting. (G) Nuclear extract from wild-type E16.5 embryo hearts was incubated with GATA4 antibody or IgG (control). Coprecipitated EZH2 was detected by immunoblotting.

To determine whether GATA4 interacts with EZH2 in vivo, we generated a GATA4 knock-in allele, GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>, which expressed GATA4 protein with the C-terminal Flag-bio-epitope tag [Fig. 1E; Supplemental Fig. S1D,E]. In GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>/flbio Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub>/<sub>BirA</sub> mice, the Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub> allele (Driegen et al. 2005) expressed the E. coli biotinylating enzyme BirA, leading to in vivo biotinylation of GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>. GATA4<sub>flbio</sub> mice survived normally [Supplemental Fig. S1F] and had no detectable cardiac abnormalities [Supplemental Fig. S1G; data not shown], indicating that the C-terminal tag did not substantially alter GATA4 function. Incubation of embryonic day 16.5 [E16.5] GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>/flbio Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub>/<sub>BirA</sub> heart extract with SA beads precipitated GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>, and immunoblotting demonstrated specific coprecipitation of EZH2 [Fig. 1F]. To further confirm this interaction, we performed immunoprecipitation of endogenous GATA4 using a specific antibody. EZH2 coprecipitated with GATA4 from wild-type fetal hearts [Fig. 1G], demonstrating interaction between the endogenous GATA4 and EZH2 in fetal hearts.

PRC2 is a methyltransferase whose major reported substrate is histone H3K27 (Cao et al. 2002; Kuzmichev et al. 2002). Other epigenetic modifying enzymes, such as histone acetyltransferases and histone deacetylases, also modify nonhistone substrates, including transcription factors [Boyes et al. 1998; Yang and Seto 2008; Trivedi et al. 2010]. Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that PRC2 methylates GATA4. First, we asked whether GATA4 is methylated. In HL1 cells, GATA4<sub>flbio</sub> pull-down followed by immunoblotting demonstrated specific coprecipitation of GATA4 and EZH2 from embryo hearts and probted the precipitate with the meK antibody. The meK antibody demonstrated robust GATA4 methylation in fetal hearts [Fig. 2B]. To identify the site of GATA4 methylation, we precipitated GATA4<sub>flbio</sub> onto SA beads from E16.5 embryo heart extracts under stringent conditions. Mass spectrometry identified monomethylation of Lys 299 [K299] [Fig. 2C]. K299 occurred within an MK motif that is commonly found at sites of lysine methylation (Pang et al. 2010). This residue is located within the EZH2-interacting region, in the GATA4 DNA-binding domain near the junction of the C-terminal zinc finger and the adjacent basic domain.

To determine whether GATA4 methylation requires PRC2, we used shRNA-expressing adenovirus to knock down PRC2 in HL1 cells and assessed the effect on GATA4 methylation. SUZ12 and EED are required to stabilize EZH2 [Montgomery et al. 2005; Pasini et al. 2007]. Suz12 depletion (>95% reduction) resulted in depletion of EZH2 [Supplemental Fig. S2A]. Suz12 shRNA, but not a scrambled shRNA, markedly reduced GATA4 methylation in HL1 cells [Fig. 3A]. To exclude off-target effects, we used a second shRNA-expressing adenovirus against Eed to deplete PRC2. This shRNA reduced Eed levels [Supplemental Fig. S2B], although it was less efficient than the Suz12 shRNA. EED knockdown also significantly

Figure 2. GATA4-K299 was methylated in vivo. [A] GATA4 was methylated in HL1 cells. GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>, precipitated from GATA4<sub>flbio</sub> expressing or control HL1 extracts in the presence of 2% SDS, immunoreacted with meK-specific antibody at the appropriate molecular weight for GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>. No meK immunoreactivity was detected in parallel control experiments with Tbx5<sub>flbio</sub>. [B] GATA4 methylation in E16.5 hearts. Protein lysates from GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>, Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub>/<sub>BirA</sub> or GATA4<sup>+/+</sup> Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub>/<sub>BirA</sub> (control) hearts were analyzed as in A. [C] Identification of GATA4 methylation site. GATA4<sub>flbio</sub>/flbio Rosa26<sub>BirA</sub>/<sub>BirA</sub> or control E16.5 heart protein lysates were precipitated as in A. ([Inset] SDS-PAGE of precipitated proteins confirmed GATA4 pull-down (colloidal blue-stained). Tandem mass spectrometry identified GATA4 methylation at K299. The mass spectrum of one diagnostic peptide is shown. Fragments containing the original N-terminal amino acid (marked by asterisks) did not show mass shift from a methyl residue, while fragments containing the original C-terminal amino acid (marked by open circles) were shifted by 14 Da. Lines in the peptide sequence indicate observed N-terminal and C-terminal fragment ions.
knockdown inhibited methylation of GATA4 by Nkx2-5 (Shen et al. 2008) was inactivated in the heart by Ezh2. The arrowhead indicates the position of the GATA4 band. (B) E16.5 heart cryosections stained for cardiac marker TNNI3 and K299-methylated GATA4. GATA4-K299me immunoreactivity (arrowheads) was markedly reduced in Ezh2Nk mutant cardiomyocytes. (C) Recombinant PRC2 methylated GATA4 fragments that encompass K299. GATA4 was chemically synthesized (G4P1, residues 249–323) or purified as GST fusion proteins from bacteria. GATA4 residues in the constructs are G4P1, residues 249–323; G4C, 207–441; G4FL, 1–441; and G4N, 1–214. After incubation with PRC2 and [3H]-SAM, reaction products were resolved by SDS-PAGE and detected by autoradiography. Histones were used as positive control. (D) Mutation of GATA4-K299 abrogated methylation by PRC2.

Reduced GATA4 methylation (Supplemental Fig. S2C), albeit less completely than Suz12 shRNA. Collectively, these data indicated that PRC2 is required for GATA4 methylation in HL1 cells.

To determine whether PRC2 is required to methylate GATA4 in vivo, we assessed GATA4 methylation in mouse embryos. The conditional allele of Ezh2 (Zeb2fl) (Shen et al. 2008) was inactivated in the heart by Nkx2-5c (Moses et al. 2001). By E12.5, Ezh2 was efficiently inactivated in cardiomyocytes (Supplemental Fig. S3A,B). We developed a polyclonal antibody that specifically recognizes GATA4 monomethylated at K299, but not unmethylated GATA4 (Supplemental Fig. S3C). While GATA4 expression and immunoreactivity were unchanged in Ezh2Nk mutant embryos (Supplemental Fig. S3D,E), GATA4-K299me immunoactivity was markedly reduced (Fig. 3B). GATA4-K299me immunoreactivity was detected in nearly all control cardiomyocytes, but we detected it in only 4.2% ± 1.0% of Ezh2Nk mutant cardiomyocytes (n = 3). These data indicate that EZH2 is required to methylate GATA4 in fetal hearts.

To test the hypothesis that PRC2 directly methylates GATA4, recombinant, purified PRC2 (Shen et al. 2008) was incubated with purified histone proteins consisting of regions of GATA4 fused to a GST affinity tag. PRC2 methylated GST-GATA4[1–441] (G4 FL) and GST-GATA4[207–441] (G4C), but not GST alone or GST-GATA4[1–244] (G4N) (Fig. 3C). Bacterial proteins also present in the GST fusion protein preparations were not methylated by PRC2, demonstrating PRC2 substrate specificity. The G4P1 peptide also was robustly methylated by PRC2 (Fig. 3C). These data indicate that PRC2 directly methylated GATA4 between residues 249–323. This result is consistent with in vivo GATA4 methylation at K299, as shown by mass spectrometry. We confirmed PRC2 methylation of this residue in the methyltransferase assay by using site-directed mutagenesis to obtain GST-GATA4K299R. PRC2 failed to methylate this mutant protein (Fig. 3D). This was not due to gross disruption of GATA4 structure, because the mutant protein retained EZH2- and DNA-binding activity (Supplemental Fig. S4A,B). Collectively, these data clearly indicate that PRC2 methylates GATA4 at K299, demonstrating for the first time that PRC2 methylation extends to nonhistone proteins, including transcription factors.

Consistent with an essential role of Ezh2 in heart development, Ezh2Nk embryos died perinatally, with thinning of the compact myocardium and hypertrabeculation (Supplemental Fig. S5A,B). A detailed description of these mutant embryos will be reported elsewhere. To evaluate the functional effect of GATA4 methylation by PRC2, we tested GATA4 target genes for differential expression in Ezh2Nk embryo heart ventricles. GATA4 regulates expression of the essential sarcomere gene Myh6, which encodes myosin heavy chain α (Zeisberg et al. 2005). PRC2 repressed Myh6, as Myh6 expression was up-regulated in Ezh2Nk heart ventricles (Fig. 4A). This was recapitulated in both cultured neonatal rat ventricular cardiomyocytes [NRVMs] and HL1 cells depleted of PRC2 by treatment with the small molecule DZNep (Tan et al. 2007; Fiskus et al. 2009) or Suz12 shRNA [Fig. 4B,C, Supplemental Fig. S6]. Although PRC2 repressed Myh6 in multiple contexts, neither EZH2 nor H3K27me3 was substantially enriched in wild-type hearts at proximal Myh6 regulatory sequences [Supplemental Fig. S7], which are sufficient to drive cardiomyocyte-specific gene expression (Gallic et al. 1991). H3K27me3 repressive marks are broadly distributed and enriched near the transcriptional start site of repressed genes (Barski et al. 2007; Young et al. 2011). Thus, the established mechanism of PRC2 repression involving H3K27me3 deposition at target gene promoters was unlikely to account for its repression of Myh6.

Figure 3. PRC2 directly methylated GATA4. (A) Suz12 shRNA knockdown inhibited methylation of GATA4 in HL1 cells. GATA4 methylation was assessed as in Figure 2, A and B. The arrowhead indicates the position of the GATA4 band. (B) E16.5 heart cryosections stained for cardiac marker TNNI3 and K299-methylated GATA4. GATA4-K299me immunoreactivity (arrowheads) was markedly reduced in Ezh2Nk mutant cardiomyocytes. (C) Recombinant PRC2 methylated GATA4 fragments that encompass K299. GATA4 was chemically synthesized (G4P1, residues 249–323) or purified as GST fusion proteins from bacteria. GATA4 residues in the constructs are G4P1, residues 249–323; G4C, 207–441; G4FL, 1–441; and G4N, 1–214. After incubation with PRC2 and [3H]-SAM, reaction products were resolved by SDS-PAGE and detected by autoradiography. Histones were used as positive control. (D) Mutation of GATA4-K299 abrogated methylation by PRC2.

Figure 4. PRC2 modulated GATA4 activation of Myh6. (A) Myh6 transcripts were up-regulated in Ezh2-deficient E12.5 and E16.5 fetal hearts. (B) PRC2 knockdown by DZNep up-regulated endogenous Myh6 transcript in NRVMs. (C) Suz12 knockdown in HL1 up-regulated the endogenous Myh6 transcript. (D) GATA4 activation of Myh6-luc was blocked by transfection of PRC2 components Ezh2, Eed, and Suz12 and by K299R mutation. (E) Suz12 knockdown in HL1 up-regulated activity of Myh6-luc and potentiated the effect of GATA4 overexpression. (F,G) PRC2 abolished p300 stimulation of GATA4 transcriptional activity on Myh6-luc and 3xGATA-luc reporters. (*) P < 0.05; (**) P < 0.01; (***)) P < 0.001.
Myh6 regulatory sequences were occupied by GATA4 [Supplemental Fig. S7]. We therefore hypothesized that PRC2 regulates Myh6 by attenuating the transcriptional activity of GATA4, which is required to activate Myh6 (Zeisberg et al. 2005). The G4P/me\(^{\text{Ac}}\) peptide bound DNA with reduced affinity compared with the unmethylated peptide-bound DNA [Supplemental Fig. S8A]. However, PRC2 knockdown in HL1 cells did not alter GATA4 occupancy of Myh6 regulatory elements in HL1 cells [Supplemental Fig. S8B] or six additional GATA4-bound loci examined, identified by GATA4 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and sequencing (ChIP-seq) in this cell line [Supplemental Fig. S8B, C, He et al. 2011]. Thus, PRC2 does not appear to influence GATA4 chromatin occupancy, and this mechanism is unlikely to account for the effect of PRC2 on Myh6 expression. Therefore, we used a Myh6 luciferase reporter containing the 5.5-kb Myh6 promoter/enhancer [Gulic et al. 1991] and the GATA4-binding site to ask whether GATA4 methylation alters its transcriptional activity. In transfected HL1 cells, the Myh6-luc reporter was activated by GATA4 overexpression, and this up-regulation was blocked by overexpression of PRC2 [Fig. 4D]. Western blotting for GATA4 showed that PRC2 overexpression did not inhibit reporter activity by decreasing GATA4 levels [data not shown]. Conversely, PRC2 knockdown by Suz12 shRNA abolished GATA4 methylation [Fig. 3A] and strongly augmented GATA4 activation of Myh6-luc [Fig. 4E]. These results indicate that PRC2 inhibited GATA4 transcriptional activity and that this inhibition occurs even in a transfected reporter construct without the chromatin structure of genomic DNA.

The transcriptional coactivator p300 interacts with GATA4 and enhances its transcriptional activity by acetylating lysine residues in its C-terminal region [Takaya et al. 2008; Trivedi et al. 2010]. Lysines at positions 311, 318, 320, and 322, but not 299, were identified as the major sites of p300-mediated GATA4 acetylation [Takaya et al. 2008]. Consistent with prior studies, cotransfection of p300 augmented GATA4 transcriptional activity on Myh6-luc [Fig. 4F]. p300-stimulated GATA4 transcriptional activity was even more robust on a second reporter composed of three GATA4-binding sites upstream of a minimal promoter and luciferase [Fig. 4G; Durocher et al. 1997]. Remarkably, p300-stimulated GATA4 transcriptional activity on both reporters was abolished by cotransfection of PRC2 [Fig. 4F,G]. This result suggested that PRC2 methylation of GATA4 inhibited its acetylation by p300.

We tested the hypothesis that PRC2 methylation of GATA4 inhibits its acetylation by p300 using an in vitro p300 acetyltransferase assay. Consistent with previous results [Takaya et al. 2008], p300 acetylated the C-terminal region of GATA4 in vitro [Fig. 5A]. Methylation of GATA4 by PRC2 preincubation significantly inhibited GATA4 acetylation [\(^*\) \(P < 0.05\)] [Fig. 5A,B]. Since in vitro PRC2 methylation is not quantitative, the approximately two-fold reduction of GATA4 acetylation we observed likely underestimated the PRC2 inhibitory effect. The inhibitory effect of PRC2 required the methyl group donor SAM, indicating that the inhibitory effect of PRC2 requires GATA4 methylation. To further investigate the mechanism by which PRC2 impaired GATA4 acetylation by p300, we measured the binding of p300 to GST-GATA4\([207–441]\) with mock or PRC2 preincubiation. Preincubation of GATA4 with PRC2 reduced p300 binding [Supplemental Fig. S9A].

This effect was due to GATA4 methylation rather than competition between EZH2 and p300 for overlapping GATA4-binding sites, because preincubation of the GATA4[K299R] mutant with PRC2 had no effect on p300 binding [Supplemental Fig. S9A]. This mutant binds to both p300 [Supplemental Fig. S9A] and EZH2 [Supplemental Fig. S4A] but cannot be methylated. To determine whether PRC2 influences GATA4 acetylation in cardiomyocytes, we depleted PRC2 in HL1 cells using shSuz12 adenovirus and measured the effect on GATA4 acetylation. Consistent with the in vitro data, PRC2 depletion strongly increased GATA4 acetylation in HL1 cells [Fig. 5C]. Taken together, the data indicate that PRC2 represses GATA4 transcriptional activity by methylating K299 and inhibiting its binding to and acetylation by p300.

In cardiomyocytes, GATA4 is a major determinant of p300 chromatin occupancy, as 76% of p300-occupied loci overlap with GATA4-occupied loci in HL1 cells [He et al. 2011]. This suggested the hypothesis that PRC2 antagonizes GATA4 recruitment of p300 to chromatin loci. To test this hypothesis, we first identified loci at which p300 recruitment was GATA4-dependent. Based on GATA4 and p300 chromatin occupancy data from HL1 [He et al. 2011], we selected loci with co-occurrence of these two factors. GATA4 knockout in HL1 significantly reduced p300 occupancy at 12 of 21 of these loci [Fig. 5D, top panel, Supplemental Fig. S9B]. Of the remaining loci, eight were not significantly changed, and only one showed increased p300 occupancy. Next, we asked whether PRC2 depletion enhanced p300 recruitment to these loci. Indeed, PRC2 knockdown with Suz12 shRNA adenovirus significantly increased p300 recruitment to four of four GATA4-dependent loci tested [Fig. 5D, bottom panel]. This effect was...
not mediated by altered GATA4 chromatin occupancy, as demonstrated by quantitative ChIP-qPCR (Supplemental Fig. S8C). Increased p300 recruitment at GATA4-dependent loci was associated with up-regulation of associated gene transcripts, which reached statistical significance in three of four tested genes [Fig. 5E]. Together, these data indicate that PRC2 methyltransferase of GATA4 attenuates its recruitment of p300 to chromatin. Furthermore, these data identify several additional target genes whose expression is regulated by PRC2–GATA4 interaction.

Our study shows that GATA4 transcriptional activity is regulated by post-translational modifications of its C-terminal region. We demonstrate that p300 acetylation [Takaya et al. 2008] and PRC2 methylation modify the GATA4 C terminus to stimulate or reduce GATA4 transcriptional activity, respectively [Supplemental Fig. 10]. The GATA4 C terminus does not stimulate transcription on its own, but is required for GATA4 transcriptional activity [Morrissey et al. 1997]. Thus, the C-terminal domain integrates activating p300 and repressive PRC2 signals to modulate GATA4 transcriptional activity. Furthermore, our data indicate a critical role for residue K299, methylation of which impaired p300 binding, GATA4 acetylation, and GATA4 transcriptional activity. In fact, while the K299R mutation did not impair EZH2, p300, or DNA binding [Supplemental Figs. S4, S9A], it abolished GATA4 methylation [Fig. 3D], p300-mediated acetylation [Supplemental Fig. S9C], and transcriptional activation [Fig. 4D]. That the K299R mutant impaired rather than augmented GATA4 transcriptional activity points to the complex role this residue plays in regulating GATA4 activity. Collectively, these data indicate that the C-terminal domain regulates GATA4 transcriptional activity and that this regulation is sensitive to the structure of the residue at position 299.

PRC2 is a critical repressor of inappropriate gene expression programs and is required for normal lineage-specific differentiation and organogenesis [Bracken et al. 2006; Rajagopal et al. 2007; Shen et al. 2008; Ezhkova et al. 2009]. PRC2 repressive activity has been attributed to PRC2 trimethylation of histone H3K27. Here, we demonstrate that PRC2 binds and methylates the cardiac transcription factor GATA4, resulting in attenuation of GATA4 transcriptional activity [Supplemental Fig. 10]. These findings show for the first time that PRC2 methylates a nonhistone target and establish reduction of methylated transcription factor activity as a new mechanism for PRC2-mediated gene repression. This novel mechanism may be broadly relevant for PRC2-regulated gene expression in development and disease.

Materials and methods
Please see the Supplemental Material for detailed information.

Mice
Ezh2fl, Nkx2.5Cre, and Rosa26mRosa alleles were described previously [Moses et al. 2001; Driegen et al. 2005; Shen et al. 2008]. Gata4fl/fl knockout mice were generated by homologous recombination. Experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Gene expression
qRT–PCR was performed on total RNA isolated, and results were expressed as mean ± SEM. Primers are listed in Supplemental Table S1.
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