

Genetic and Epigenetic Control of Heart Development

Brynn N. Akerberg¹ and William T. Pu^{1,2}

¹Department of Cardiology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

²Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Correspondence: wpu@pulab.org



A transcriptional program implemented by transcription factors and epigenetic regulators governs cardiac development and disease. Mutations in these factors are important causes of congenital heart disease. Here, we review selected recent advances in our understanding of the transcriptional and epigenetic control of heart development, including determinants of cardiac transcription factor chromatin occupancy, the gene regulatory network that regulates atrial septation, the chromatin landscape and cardiac gene regulation, and the role of Brg/Brahma-associated factor (BAF), nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation (NuRD), and Polycomb epigenetic regulatory complexes in heart development.

The mammalian heart develops through an intricate dance that transforms the linear heart tube into a four-chambered structure while simultaneously maintaining effective embryonic blood flow. The intricate choreography required perhaps explains why among liveborn infants the heart is the organ most frequently affected by major malformations (Pierpont et al. 2018). Initial studies of rare forms of inherited heart malformations identified mutations of the transcriptional regulators T-Box 5 (TBX5) (Basson et al. 1997), NK2 homeobox 5 (Nkx2-5) (Schott et al. 1998), and GATA-binding protein 4 (GATA4) (Garg et al. 2003) as causes of familial congenital heart disease (CHD). This initial impression that mutations in regulators of gene transcription are important causes of CHD has been borne out in whole-exome sequencing studies of patients with ap-

parently sporadic, nonsyndromic CHD, which shows that CHD probands have an excess burden of de novo mutations that impact transcriptional and epigenetic regulatory pathways (Zaidi et al. 2013; Homsy et al. 2015; Jin et al. 2017).

This review highlights recent advances in understanding the genetic and epigenetic control of heart development. Given the wealth of emerging information on transcriptional control of cardiac development, a comprehensive review was not possible within the allocated space and instead we focused on selected topics.

CARDIAC TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR CHROMATIN OCCUPANCY

The genome sequence contains the instructions to turn genes on and off. How this information

Editors: Benoit G. Bruneau and Paul R. Riley

Additional Perspectives on Heart Development and Disease available at www.cshperspectives.org

Copyright © 2019 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; all rights reserved

Advanced Online Article. Cite this article as *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a036756

B.N. Akerberg and W.T. Pu

is encoded and the machinery that reads and implements these regulatory instructions is incompletely understood. It is clear that DNA-binding transcription factors (TFs) are central players, because they recognize specific binding sites in DNA and thereby recruit other regulatory proteins, including factors that lack intrinsic sequence specificity. However, the principles that determine TF chromatin occupancy remain obscure. Several studies have provided new insights about the regulation of cardiac TF occupancy during heart development.

GATA4 is an essential regulator of cardiac development that is mutated in familial as well as sporadic CHD (Molkentin et al. 1997; Garg et al. 2003; Pu et al. 2004; Zeisberg et al. 2005; Rajagopal et al. 2007). Researchers have studied the dynamic change of chromatin occupancy by the key cardiac TF GATA4 during heart development (He et al. 2014). A high-affinity epitope tag (AviTag, which undergoes *in vivo* biotinylation by the *Escherichia coli* enzyme BirA) was knocked into the carboxyl terminus of GATA4 to allow highly sensitive and reproducible GATA4 bioChIP-Seq. The majority of regions occupied by GATA4 in fetal (E12.5) heart were not occupied by GATA4 in adult heart. Interestingly, adult GATA4 occupancy occurred closer to promoters (Fig. 1A). GATA4 regions were highly enriched for the active enhancer mark H3K27ac, and GATA4 inactivation reduced H3K27ac signal at a subset of GATA4 regions (Fig. 1B). This is consistent with GATA4's known interaction with EP300 (Dai et al. 2002), which writes H3K27ac. Pathological stress (pressure overload) also dynamically altered GATA4 occupancy. Interestingly, only a minority of pressure overload-induced GATA4 regions reflected reacquisition of fetal occupancy, whereas most of these disease-associated GATA4 regions were not observed during normal heart development. Contrary to the adage that pathological stress activates a fetal gene program, this observation suggests that pathological stress predominantly activates a transcriptional program that is distinct from the program that regulates genes during normal development. Ongoing studies of several other central cardiac TFs indicate that dynamic TF

chromatin occupancy is a common theme, rather than a unique property of GATA4 (Akerberg et al. 2019).

One important determinant of cardiac TF occupancy is the interaction with other TFs. Mutations in GATA4 that perturb its interaction with TBX5 cause both septal and atrioventricular defects (Garg et al. 2003; Maitra et al. 2009; Misra et al. 2012). Interestingly, both mice and humans that lack GATA4 are at risk for developing cardiac dysfunction (Bisping et al. 2006; Ang et al. 2016); in mice this risk varies by genetic background, suggestive of important genetic modifiers (Rajagopal et al. 2007). Srivastava and colleagues used human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived cardiomyocytes (iPSC-CM) to study the pathogenic mechanisms by which a heterozygous GATA4-G296S mutation causes septal defects and cardiomyopathy (Ang et al. 2016). This mutation both disrupts GATA4-TBX5 interaction and reduces GATA4 DNA-binding affinity (Garg et al. 2003). iPSC-CMs harboring this mutation showed reduced contractile function, increased sarcomere disorganization, calcium handling abnormalities, and abnormal metabolism. Transcriptome analysis of the iPSC-derived cardiac progenitors and cardiomyocytes showed that down-regulated genes were enriched for functional annotations related to heart development and contraction, whereas up-regulated genes were enriched for vascular development. These gene expression changes were linked to reduced activation of cardiac genes and impaired silencing of endothelial genes. In wild-type iPSC-CMs, >50% of GATA4 sites were co-occupied by TBX5, which were enriched for functional terms related to heart muscle and contraction. The GATA4-G296S mutation resulted in loss of 54% of normal GATA4-binding sites. Interestingly, 15% of the sites occupied by the mutant protein were “ectopic” (i.e., not occupied by the wild-type protein). Furthermore, the GATA4 mutation also altered TBX5 occupancy, with 26% of normal TBX5 sites being lost and 24% of its binding sites being ectopic in the mutant cells. Reduction of both GATA4 and TBX5 occupancy was linked to loss of cardiac superenhancers, dense clusters of transcriptional enhancers that are implicated in




Figure 1. Transcription factor (TF) interactions. (A) GATA-binding protein 4 (GATA4) occupies regions in a stage-specific manner. GATA4 bioChIP-Seq data revealed that GATA4 predominantly binds distal (>2 kb from transcription start sites [TSSs]) enhancers (H3K27ac) in fetal heart. The majority of fetal binding sites were not occupied by GATA4 in adult heart, and overall a greater proportion of GATA4 occupancy was proximal to the TSSs. (B) Depletion of GATA4 in the embryonic mouse heart resulted in loss of H3K27ac epigenetic marks at candidate cardiac enhancers. (C) GATA4 and T-Box 5 (TBX5) coordinately regulate transcription of cardiac genes through binding enhancers, which are often marked by H3K27ac. A GATA4 point mutation found in a family with septal defects, and cardiomyopathy abolishes physical interaction with TBX5 and leads to dysregulated gene expression, in part through ectopic TBX5 occupancy at endothelial-related genes. (D) A subset of sites co-occupied by NKX2-5 and TBX5 revealed a distinct binding motif orientation and spacing (0 bp or 4 bp) and frequent co-occupancy by GATA4. Loss of either NKX2-5 or TBX5 resulted in ectopic binding (binding to regions in mutant that were not found in wild type) of the other TF partner in combination with GATA4. Similarly, in cells with double knockout of both NKX2-5 and TBX5, GATA4 was found ectopically bound to new genomic regions.

B.N. Akerberg and W.T. Pu

lineage identity (Whyte et al. 2013), and down-regulation of cardiac genes, whereas reduction of GATA4 and gain of TBX5 occupancy was associated with endothelial gene up-regulation (Fig. 1C). Overall, this study revealed that GATA4–TBX5 interaction promotes proper chromatin occupancy by both TFs, which is required to activate cardiac genes and repress endothelial genes in cardiac cells.

Harvey and colleagues studied the effect of NKX2-5 mutations on NKX2-5 chromatin occupancy at gene promoters using DNA adenine methyltransferase identification (DamID) (Bouveret et al. 2015). Two different NKX2-5 mutations—NKX2-5-Y191C, in which a human CHD missense mutation interferes with normal DNA binding, and NKX2-5 Δ HHD, in which the DNA-binding domain has been deleted—were compared with wild-type NKX2-5. Both mutants retained the ability to occupy chromatin at a subset of the wild-type sites, via heterodimerization with the wild-type protein through a tyrosine-rich domain. Furthermore, the NKX2-5 mutants occupied ectopic sites, which were not enriched for cardiac TF motifs—specifically the NKX2-5 motif. Rather, the mutant proteins occupied these regions by heterodimerizing with ELK1/4, a subset of widely expressed ETS family TFs that normally interact with wild-type NKX2-5 at a subset of binding sites. This study reinforces the conclusion that CHD-causing TF mutations result in not only loss of normal TF occupancy but also drive ectopic TF occupancy and aberrant gene expression through protein–protein interactions with other TFs.

Bruneau and colleagues studied the interplay of TBX5 and NKX2-5 in cardiac transcriptional regulation using murine embryonic stem cells (ESCs) directed to undergo cardiac differentiation (Luna-Zurita et al. 2016). Knockout of TBX5 or NKX2-5 individually (TKO or NKO) or both factors together (DKO) identified complex individual and combined requirement of these factors for normal expression of cardiac genes, regulation of cell cycle genes, and repression of ectopic, noncardiac genes. High-resolution mapping of TBX5, NKX2-5, and GATA4 chromatin occupancy via chromatin immuno-

precipitation combined with exonuclease digestion (ChIP-exo) showed that regions co-occupied by all three factors had the highest chromatin occupancy signal, and neighboring genes were most likely to be differentially expressed in the knockout cells. This analysis also identified a preferred spacing of 4 bp or 0 bp and head-to-head orientation of TBX5 and NKX2-5 motifs, suggesting a preferred composite motif recognized by TBX5–NKX2-5 heterodimers (Fig. 1D). This conclusion was supported by a crystal structure of a TBX5–NKX2-5–DNA ternary complex, which showed a small TBX5–NKX2-5 protein–protein interface that contributes to cooperative binding of the factors to DNA. Knockout of NKX2-5 or TBX5 alone or in combination resulted in loss of a subset of normal binding sites by the remaining factors, as well as gain of ectopic binding sites (Fig. 1D). Both loss and ectopic occupancy changes were functionally significant, as they were associated with differential expression of subsets of genes in the knockout cell lines. This study reinforced the conclusion that the interplay of TFs establishes normal TF chromatin occupancy and prevents ectopic TF binding with associated abnormal gene activation.

CARDIAC TF GENE REGULATORY NETWORKS IN ATRIAL SEPTATION

Given the interdependence between GATA4, NKX2-5, TBX5, and other cardiac TFs for chromatin occupancy and gene activation, it is not surprising that these factors genetically interact. Patients and mice with heterozygous GATA4, NKX2-5, and TBX5 mutations often have defects in atrial septation (Basson et al. 1997; Schott et al. 1998; Biben et al. 2000; Bruneau et al. 2001; Garg et al. 2003; Rajagopal et al. 2007). Recent studies have defined TBX5- and GATA4-dependent gene regulatory networks that govern atria septation.

TBX5 is required for atrial septation in a subdomain of the second heart field, the posterior second heart field that contains cardiopulmonary progenitors (CPPs), which give rise to both atrial and pulmonary structures (Xie et al. 2012). Within CPPs, TBX5 directly activates

expression of WNT2/2b, which signals to endoderm to promote pulmonary endoderm specification (Steimle et al. 2018). Pulmonary endoderm expresses Sonic hedgehog (Shh), which in turn signals back to CPPs via GLI TFs. GLI and TBX5 collaborate to drive atrial septal progenitor specification and proliferation (Xie et al. 2012; Hoffmann et al. 2014).

The requirement for GATA4 in atrial septation was also localized to the posterior second heart field (pSHF) (Zhou et al. 2017a). GATA4 is genetically upstream of Shh signaling, because constitutive activation of Shh in CPPs rescued embryos from atrial septal defects caused by heterozygous GATA4 mutation. Consistent with this observation, GATA4 directly regulated the expression of Shh signaling components within CPPs. Interestingly, the penetrance of atrial septal defects in mice with heterozygous GATA4 mutation is strain-dependent (Rajagopal et al. 2007), suggesting genetic modifiers that modulate the sensitivity of CPPs to GATA4 dosage.

EPIGENETIC REGULATION OF HEART DEVELOPMENT

DNA is tightly wound around histones, which both compacts the genome and regulates its accessibility for transcription. This creates an epigenetic layer of gene regulation that is superimposed on the primary genome sequence. CHD patients have increased burden of de novo mutations in epigenetic regulatory factors, directly implicating these protein complexes in the pathogenesis of structural heart disease (Zaidi et al. 2013).

Dynamic Chromatin Landscape

Epigenetic chromatin features, including DNA methylation, posttranslational covalent histone modifications, and chromatin accessibility, are important regulators of gene expression and development. For example, histone H3 acetylated at lysine 27 (H3K27ac) or monomethylated at lysine 4 (H3K4me1) is associated with active and poised transcriptional enhancers, and H3K27me3 is associated with transcriptional silencing. Extensive efforts have documented the

cardiomyocyte epigenome during stem cell to cardiomyocyte differentiation (Paige et al. 2012; Wamstad et al. 2012) and normal heart development (Nord et al. 2013; Gilsbach et al. 2014, 2018; He et al. 2014). These studies show that the epigenome is highly dynamic, with both DNA methylation and histone modifications changing extensively between fetal, adult, and disease states.

Cis-Regulatory Elements

Availability of these genome-wide data sets has permitted prediction of the function of genomic regions. Attention has primarily been focused on transcriptional enhancers, in part because the best developed experimental assay of *cis*-regulatory activity, transient transgenesis, measures enhancer activity. Enhancers predicted by specific chromatin features, such as occupancy by H3K27ac (May et al. 2012; Nord et al. 2013), EP300 (Visel et al. 2009; Blow et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2017b), or cardiac TFs (He et al. 2011, 2014), have been experimentally tested by transient transgenesis, and the results of thousands of tests across multiple developing organs are accessible through the VISTA Enhancer Browser (Visel et al. 2007). Generally, approximately half of the regions decorated by these candidate features have heart activity in transient transgenic assays.

Based largely on this database of regions with validated heart activity, efforts have been made to develop algorithms that integrate information on multiple chromatin features to score a region's likelihood to be an active cardiac enhancer (Erwin et al. 2014; Dickel et al. 2016; van Duijvenboden et al. 2016). Although such predictive algorithms are sorely needed, these efforts were limited by available training data. The VISTA Enhancer database has been invaluable but is limited (1912 human and 1062 murine enhancers tested; 140 and 160 with cardiac activity, respectively) and inherently biased by the manner in which the regions to be tested were selected. Application of high-throughput enhancer assays (Inoue and Ahituv 2015) to cardiac development and disease will create a more extensive and representative database of

B.N. Akerberg and W.T. Pu

cardiac enhancer activity. Using these more robust training data and predictive algorithms, it will be possible to develop more extensive and accurate annotations of cardiac enhancer activity within noncoding regions.

Chromatin Remodeling Complexes

ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes catalyze nucleosome eviction or repositioning (Hota and Bruneau 2016). The most studied remodeling complex in the heart is the switch/sucrose nonfermentable (SWI/SNF) family, a diverse class of remodeling complexes that share a set of core protein subunits. This heterogeneous complex contains at least 10 subunits, with the catalytic subunit encoded by either Brg1 or Brm, leading to the alternative moniker of Brg/Brahma-associated factor (BAF) complex. BAF complexes occupy both gene promoters and distal *cis*-regulatory regions, and both activate and repress genes in a context-dependent manner (Hota and Bruneau 2016; Hota et al. 2019).

BAF complexes have specific subunit compositions in different cell types, and the normal transition between BAF complex subunits has been implicated in precursor differentiation to definitive cell types. The subunit composition of the BAF complex was recently defined at several stages during differentiation of murine ESCs to cardiomyocytes by mass spectrometry analysis of proteins that coimmunoprecipitate with BRG1 (Hota et al. 2019). This revealed the changing composition of the BAF complex during cardiomyocyte differentiation and identified novel interacting proteins. Among these interacting proteins was WDR5, a member of the MLL complex that deposits H3K4me3. WDR5 is mutated in patients with CHD (Zaidi et al. 2013).

Among the most notable BAF subunit changes was BAF60C (*SmarcaD3*) and BAF170 replacing BAF60A (*SmarcaD1*) and BAF155, respectively, BAF in cardiac progenitors and cardiomyocytes (Hota et al. 2019). This change in BAF60 isoforms is consistent with observations in murine embryos, in which *SmarcaD3* was selectively expressed in the heart between E7.5 and E9.5, and its depletion by RNAi or Cre-loxP con-

ditional knockout (*Nkx2-5^{Cre}*) disrupted cardiac morphogenesis and impaired proliferation and differentiation of second heart field progenitors and cardiomyocytes (Lickert et al. 2004; Sun et al. 2018). BAF60C interacted with TBX5, NKX2-5, and GATA4 and promoted their activity in luciferase transcriptional assays, suggesting that it acts as an interface between cardiac TFs and the BAF complex (Lickert et al. 2004). In keeping with TBX5 and GATA4 recruitment of the BAF complex, BRG1-occupied regions significantly overlapped with TBX5 and GATA4 in cardiomyocyte progenitors and cardiomyocytes (Hota et al. 2019). In fact, ectopic expression of BAF60C with TBX5 and GATA4 drove non-cardiac mesoderm to differentiate into beating cardiomyocytes (Takeuchi and Bruneau 2009). BAF60C is required for expression of multiple components of the contractile machinery through interactions with myocardin (MYOCD), a transcriptional coactivator of cardiac TFs SRF and MEF2C (Sun et al. 2018). Interestingly, ablation of BAF60C or BAF170 did not abrogate BAF complexes but rather altered their composition, with associated changes in BRG1 occupancy and chromatin accessibility. These data indicate that the subunit composition is developmentally regulated, cell type-specific, and critical for BAF complex activation or repression of genes. The cardiac-enriched subunits interface with cardiac TFs and coactivators to direct cardiac gene expression.

BAF complexes are also essential in the non-cardiomyocyte components of the heart. *Brg1* inactivation in the endothelial (*Tie2:Cre*), but not myocardial, compartment caused defective myocardial trabeculation (Stankunas et al. 2008). This defect was linked to depletion of cardiac jelly, the extracellular matrix (ECM) between endocardium and myocardium that is required for signaling pathways essential for trabeculation. Endocardium depleted for BRG1 expressed abnormally high levels of ADAMTS1, a metalloproteinase that degrades key cardiac jelly components. *Adamts1* transcription was directly repressed by BRG1 in endocardial cells, and its inhibition rescued cardiac jelly and trabeculation. Endocardial BRG1 is also required for normal semilunar valve development, be-



cause endocardium-specific (*Nfatc^{Cre}*) deletion of *Brg1* caused abnormal ECM organization in the developing semilunar valves that impaired endocardial-to-mesenchymal transitions in the proximal outflow tract, resulting in bicuspid aortic valve (Akerberg et al. 2015). Together these studies show that BAF complex repressive activity governs endocardial cell ECM deposition, which regulates development of both the myocardium and the cardiac valves.

The BAF complex is also required in the epicardium, the mesothelial sheet that covers the myocardium (Vieira et al. 2017). During embryonic heart development, BRG1 bound within the promoter and first intron of *Wt1*, a TF required for normal epicardium development and formation of epicardium-derived cells (EPDCs) via epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Loss of *Brg1* in EPDCs (*Wt1:Cre*) significantly diminished *Wt1* levels, reduced formation of EPDCs and their derivatives, such as coronary vascular smooth muscle cells.

Nucleosome Remodeling and Histone Deacetylation

The nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation (NuRD) complex couples ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling with histone deacetylation. Like BAF complexes, NuRD is a multisubunit complex with alternative isoforms for several components. The ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling activity is provided by CHD3 and CHD4, also known as Mi-2 α and Mi-2 β , respectively, and the histone deacetylase (HDAC) activity resides in HDAC1 or HDAC2 (Torchy et al. 2015). The complex contains proteins that recognize multiple chromatin features, including histone tails, methylated lysine, and DNA methylation, suggesting that the complex can integrate information from these epigenetic features to regulate histone deacetylation and nucleosome positioning. In the absence of ATP, the NuRD complex primarily deacetylates histone octamers, not nucleosomes, suggesting that chromatin remodeling is required for HDAC activity on chromatin (Xue et al. 1998).

Chd4 mutations cause CHD including atrial and ventricular septal defects (Homsy et al.

2015). In mouse models, *Chd4* is required for early cardiogenesis, as early inactivation by *Nkx2-5^{Cre}* resulted in thinning of the compact myocardium and reduced myocardial trabeculation, which was caused by decreased cardiomyocyte proliferation. Transcriptome analysis revealed derepression of smooth and skeletal muscle gene expression programs. Both up- and down-regulated genes were associated with enrichment of CHD4 binding, and the most differentially expressed genes (DEGs) correlated with CHD4 enrichment distal to promoter regions, suggesting a role for the NuRD complex to regulate transcriptional enhancer usage (Wilczewski et al. 2018). *Chd4* inactivation in skeletal muscle led to the reciprocal observation that cardiac-specific muscle gene isoforms were derepressed (Gómez-Del Arco et al. 2016).

NuRD interacts with at least three key cardiac transcriptional regulators: FOG2 (Roche et al. 2008), TBX5 (Waldron et al. 2016), and TBX20 (Kaltenbrun et al. 2013). FOG2, a protein that binds to GATA4 and often represses genes at a subset of targets, interacts with MTA and RbAp subunits of NuRD via a 12-amino acid sequence at its amino terminus. This interaction was required for FOG2-mediated transcriptional repression in vitro. In vivo, disruption of this interaction via introduction of precise mutations into the FOG2 amino-terminal interaction domain causes atrial and ventricular septal defects, thin ventricular myocardium, and reduced cardiomyocyte proliferation (Fig. 2A; Garnatz et al. 2014).

An unbiased proteomics screen of TBX5-interacting proteins identified NuRD components CHD4 and MTA1 (Waldron et al. 2016). This interaction is likely functionally significant, as *Tbx5^{+/-};Mta1^{+/-}* doubly heterozygous mice developed more severe cardiac septation defects than *Tbx5^{+/-}* mice. The study identified a region of TBX5 necessary and sufficient for NuRD interaction. This NuRD interaction domain (NID) is affected by *Tbx5* mutations that cause Holt-Oram syndrome and its associated cardiac defects, and these mutations impaired TBX5–NuRD interaction (Fig. 2B; Waldron et al. 2016). A similar proteomics screen of TBX20-

B.N. Akerberg and W.T. Pu




Figure 2. Nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation (NuRD) complex and cardiac gene regulation. (A) The NuRD complex interacts with FOG2, a direct modulator of GATA4 transcriptional activity. One target of GATA4–FOG2–NuRD repression was *Cdkn1a*. (B) TBX5 directly interacts with NuRD complex subunits CHD4, HDAC2, and MTA1 to repress gene expression programs not normally expressed during heart development. TBX5 mutations in its NuRD interaction domain (NID) abolished direct interaction with the NuRD complex, leading to expression of normally repressed, ectopic gene programs. (C) Physical interaction between TBX20 and the NuRD complex is mediated through Gro/TLE corepressors. Specifically, the TBX20 eh1 domain is essential for proper transcriptional regulation by the Gro/TLE–NuRD complexes.

interacting proteins also identified NuRD components including MTA1 and HDAC2, and mutation of the TBX20 interaction domain disrupted TBX20–Gro/TLE–NuRD-mediated transcriptional repression in *Xenopus* embryos (Fig. 2C; Kaltenbrun et al. 2013).

Polycomb Repressor Complexes

The Polycomb genes were initially discovered in *Drosophila* by their requirement for silenc-

ing Hox gene expression (Lewis 1978). Many of these genes encode factors active in epigenetic repression. Interestingly, subsequent screens for Polycomb antagonists uncovered the trithorax genes, many of which encode BAF complex subunits (Schuettengruber et al. 2017).

Based on biochemical purification experiments, Polycomb proteins have been grouped into two main complexes: Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) and Polycomb repressive

complex 2 (PRC2). The core subunits of PRC2 contains enhancer of zeste (either EZH1 or EZH2), which catalyzes trimethylation of histone H3 on lysine 27 (H3K27me3), embryonic ectoderm development (EED), and suppressor of zeste (SUZ12). H3K27me3 is a major repressive epigenetic mark, and writing of this mark has been thought to be a major mechanism of PRC-mediated gene repression. Surprisingly, EZH2 directly interacted with and monomethylated GATA4 (He et al. 2012b). GATA4 methylation limited its recruitment of the transcriptional coactivator EP300, which activates cardiac gene transcription by acetylating GATA4 (Trivedi et al. 2010) as well as histone H3 at lysine 27 (Fig. 3A). This study identified PRC2 methylation of nonhistone targets as an additional mechanism of gene repression.


Through gene inactivation studies in mice, the function of PRC2 in cardiac development and postnatal cardiac function has been carefully dissected. Inactivation of EZH2 in cardiac progenitors (*Nkx2-5^{Cre}* or *Mef2-SHF-Cre*) severely disrupted heart morphogenesis by derepressing ectopic gene expression programs and cell cycle inhibitors, which reduced cardiomyocyte proliferation (Fig. 3B; Delgado-Olguín et al. 2012; He et al. 2012a). Although selective EZH2 inactivation in the second heart field by *Mef2-SHF-Cre* disrupted normal cardiac development, it was compatible with survival to adulthood, and affected adult cardiomyocytes were significantly enlarged (Delgado-Olguín et al. 2012). However, EZH2 inactivation in differentiated cardiomyocytes did not affect heart development or postnatal heart function, suggesting that these defects in gene expression, proliferation, and postnatal cardiomyocyte hypertrophy reflect epigenetic scars induced by EZH2 loss in cardiac progenitors (He and Pu 2012; He et al. 2012a). Likely, EZH2 is dispensable in differentiated cardiomyocytes owing to functional redundancy with EZH1; indeed, embryos with cardiomyocyte deficiency of either EZH1 or EZH2 developed normally, but ablation of both factors caused lethal heart malformations (Ai et al. 2017b). Intriguingly, EZH1, but not EZH2, was required for neonatal heart regeneration. These studies show that EZH1 and

EZH2 have partially overlapping functions, but each also possesses unique activity that is unmasked in specific developmental stages or disease contexts.

Unlike EZH1/2, the essential PRC2 component EED is not genetically redundant. Inactivation of EED in developing cardiomyocytes caused lethal cardiac morphogenesis defects including impaired cardiomyocyte proliferation (He et al. 2012a), consistent with similar findings in embryos lacking EZH1 and EZH2 (Ai et al. 2017b). Interestingly, postnatal deletion of EED caused lethal dilated cardiomyopathy associated with derepression of ectopic gene programs, such as expression of skeletal muscle gene isoforms (Ai et al. 2017a). Surprisingly, derepressed genes were not enriched for loss of H3K27me3, suggesting that PRC2 represses these genes through alternative, noncanonical mechanisms (Fig. 3C). There was a global increase of H3K27ac in EED knockout cardiomyocytes and derepressed genes gained this activating mark. Adeno-associated virus (AAV)-mediated EED reexpression rescued the cardiomyopathy phenotype and restored H3K27ac but not H3K27me3. Mechanistically, EED was found to interact with multiple HDACs and to increase HDAC activity. In support of this model, AAV-mediated HDAC1/2 overexpression partially rescued the EED knockout cardiomyopathy phenotype. Collectively, these data indicate that EED regulation of gene expression in postnatal cardiomyocytes occurs through non-canonical mechanisms. Most studies of PRC2 activity have been performed in actively cycling cells, which may emphasize repressive mechanisms that rely on PRC2-mediated H3K27me3 deposition. However, in noncycling cells such as postnatal cardiomyocytes, EED regulates gene expression through alternative, HDAC-dependent mechanisms.

PRC1 is the second major complex formed by Polycomb group proteins. The core of PRC1 is composed of RING1 proteins (RING1A [RNF1] or RING1B [RNF2]), which catalyze H2A lysine 119 ubiquitination, and one of six Polycomb group ring-finger domain proteins (PCGF1–PCGF6). The canonical PRC1 complex contains a chromobox protein (CBX2,

B.N. Akerberg and W.T. Pu



Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology
www.cshperspectives.org



Figure 3. Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2). (A) PRC2 binds to directly methylate K299 residue on GATA4 to attenuate transcriptional activation of downstream targets such as Myh6. This repressive activity of PRC2 is balanced by the EP300, which competes with PRC2 for GATA4 binding and directly acetylates GATA4 at the same residue, resulting in target gene activation. (B) EZH2, the catalytic subunit of the PRC2 complex, is required for proper heart development. Conditional knockout (cKO) of EZH2 by Nkx2-5^{Cre} in cardiac progenitors globally decreased H3K27me3 levels and inappropriately derepressed neuronal, fibroblast, and mesenchymal gene programs in embryonic mouse hearts. (C) Myh6-specific deletion of EED, a core PRC2 component, leads to derepression of many genes. These derepressed genes fall into three categories based on their associated epigenetic marks: (1) increased H3K27me3 and increased H3K27ac, consistent with loss of EED-dependent histone deacetylase (HDAC) activity; (2) retained H3K27me3, consistent with histone methylation-independent gene regulation; and (3) little H3K27me3 in control and increased H3K27ac in EED^{KO}, suggestive of "poised" genes that are activated by relief of PRC2 and HDAC repression.



CBX4, or CBX6–CBX8) and a polyhomeotic (Ph) homologous protein (PHC1-3), whereas noncanonical PRC1 complexes contain RING1 and YY1-binding protein (RYBP) (Schuetten-gruber et al. 2017). The roles of these PRC1 complexes in heart development have not been studied as extensively as PRC2, although both canonical and noncanonical complexes have been implicated. Loss of RNF2, common to both canonical and noncanonical PRC1, in zebrafish derepressed *Tbx2/3* in working myocardium, resulting in down-regulation of chamber-specific genes (Chrispijn et al. 2019). Noncanonical PRC1 subunit RYBP was required for formation of beating cardiomyocytes in murine ESC differentiation (Ujhelly et al. 2015), and inactivation of canonical PRC1 subunit PHC1 (also known as Rae28) caused aberrant cardiac morphogenesis and impaired expression of *Nkx2-5* (Shirai et al. 2002). The molecular mechanism by which repressor inactivation leads to *Nkx2-5* down-regulation remains to be determined.

Although Polycomb-mediated gene repression is clearly essential for cardiac development and adult heart function, the specific mechanisms that govern its chromatin occupancy are poorly defined. In general, Polycomb complexes are recruited to chromatin by several potential mechanisms. In mammals, a subset of Polycomb proteins occupy hypomethylated CG islands (Boyer et al. 2006), suggesting that DNA sequence composition and methylation influence recruitment. Polycomb complexes also directly interact with some TFs, including GATA4 (He et al. 2012b) and YY1 (Basu et al. 2014), which are active in cardiac progenitors and cardiomyocytes. Long noncoding RNAs regulate cardiac development and function (Salamon et al. 2018), and some have been shown to recruit PRC2 to specific repressed loci (Plath et al. 2003; Kanhere et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2016). Polycomb complexes can also be recruited by binding to epigenetic marks. For example, EED binds to H3K27me3 and H3K9me3, and this interaction contributes to H3K27me3 maintenance (Margueron et al. 2009). PRC1 chromatin proteins also bind H3K27me3, suggesting a model in which PRC2 hierarchically recruits

Transcriptional Control of Heart Development

PRC1 (Wang et al. 2004). PRC1 chromatin occupancy is also determined by controlled chromatin expulsion, as BRG1 has been shown to catalyze ATP-dependent PRC1 eviction (Stanton et al. 2017). It will be important to determine how these general Polycomb recruitment strategies are deployed in heart development and disease to regulate cardiac gene expression.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Over the past decade, application of exome and genome sequencing to CHD has highlighted the important pathogenic contribution of mutations in genes that regulate transcription during cardiac development (Zaidi et al. 2013; Homsy et al. 2015; Jin et al. 2017). Mechanistic studies in model organisms have yielded insights into the intricate process by which TFs and epigenetic readers and writers interact to shape the chromatin landscape and activate and repress gene expression in a precise, spatio-temporally regulated manner. Continuing basic and translational efforts will reveal answers to many important questions, including the systematic annotation of noncoding transcriptional regulatory regions in heart development, homeostasis, and disease; the contribution of sequence variants in noncoding transcriptional regulatory regions to CHD; the role of three-dimensional chromatin structure in cardiac development and disease; the influence of mutations in transcriptional and epigenetic regulators on long-term function of cardiac structures, in addition to their impact on initial cardiac morphogenesis; and the effect of oligogenic mutations on heart development.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

B.N.A. was supported by T32HL07572 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). W.T.P. was supported by HL098166 and HL146634 from NHLBI.

REFERENCES

Ai S, Peng Y, Li C, Gu F, Yu X, Yue Y, Ma Q, Chen J, Lin Z, Zhou P, et al. 2017a. EED orchestration of heart maturation

B.N. Akerberg and W.T. Pu

- tion through interaction with HDACs is H3K27me3-independent. *eLife* **6**: e24570. doi:10.7554/eLife.24570
- Ai S, Yu X, Li Y, Peng Y, Li C, Yue Y, Tao G, Li C, Pu WT, He A. 2017b. Divergent requirements for EZH1 in heart development versus regeneration. *Circ Res* **121**: 106–112. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311212
- Akerberg BN, Sarangam ML, Stankunas K. 2015. Endocardial Brg1 disruption illustrates the developmental origins of semilunar valve disease. *Dev Biol* **407**: 158–172. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.06.015
- Akerberg BN, Gu F, VanDusen NJ, Zhang X, Dong R, Li K, Zhang B, Zhou B, Sethi I, Ma Q, et al. 2019. A reference map of murine cardiac transcription factor chromatin occupancy identifies dynamic and conserved enhancers. *Nat Commun* **10**: 4907. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12812-3
- Ang YS, Rivas RN, Ribeiro AJS, Srivas R, Rivera J, Stone NR, Pratt K, Mohamed TMA, Fu J-D, Spencer CI, et al. 2016. Disease model of GATA4 mutation reveals transcription factor cooperativity in human cardiogenesis. *Cell* **167**: 1734–1749.e22. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.033
- Basson CT, Bachinsky DR, Lin RC, Levi T, Elkins JA, Soults J, Grayzel D, Kroumpouzou E, Trill TA, Leblanc-Straceski J, et al. 1997. Mutations in human *TBX5* [corrected] cause limb and cardiac malformation in Holt–Oram syndrome. *Nat Genet* **15**: 30–35. doi:10.1038/ng0197-30
- Basu A, Wilkinson FH, Colavita K, Fennelly C, Atchison ML. 2014. YY1 DNA binding and interaction with YAF2 is essential for Polycomb recruitment. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**: 2208–2223. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1187
- Biben C, Weber R, Kesteven S, Stanley E, McDonald L, Elliott DA, Barnett L, Köentgen F, Robb L, Feneley M, et al. 2000. Cardiac septal and valvular dysmorphogenesis in mice heterozygous for mutations in the homeobox gene *Nkx2-5*. *Circ Res* **87**: 888–895. doi:10.1161/01.RES.87.10.888
- Bisping E, Ikeda S, Kong SW, Tarnavski O, Bodyak N, McMullen JR, Rajagopal S, Son JK, Ma Q, Springer Z, et al. 2006. Gata4 is required for maintenance of postnatal cardiac function and protection from pressure overload-induced heart failure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**: 14471–14476. doi:10.1073/pnas.0602543103
- Blow MJ, McCulley DJ, Li Z, Zhang T, Akiyama JA, Holt A, Plajzer-Frick I, Shoukry M, Wright C, Chen F, et al. 2010. ChIP-Seq identification of weakly conserved heart enhancers. *Nat Genet* **42**: 806–810. doi:10.1038/ng.650
- Bouveret R, Waardenberg AJ, Schonrock N, Ramialison M, Doan T, de Jong D, Bondue A, Kaur G, Mohamed S, Fonoudi H, et al. 2015. NKX2-5 mutations causative for congenital heart disease retain functionality and are directed to hundreds of targets. *eLife* **4**: e06942. doi:10.7554/eLife.06942
- Boyer LA, Plath K, Zeitlinger J, Brambrink T, Medeiros LA, Lee TI, Levine SS, Wernig M, Tajonar A, Ray MK, et al. 2006. Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem cells. *Nature* **441**: 349–353. doi:10.1038/nature04733
- Bruneau BG, Nemer G, Schmitt JP, Charron F, Robitaille L, Caron S, Conner DA, Gessler M, Nemer M, Seidman CE, et al. 2001. A murine model of Holt–Oram syndrome defines roles of the T-box transcription factor *Tbx5* in cardiogenesis and disease. *Cell* **106**: 709–721. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00493-7
- Chrispijn ND, Elurbe DM, Mickoleit M, Aben M, de Bakker DEM, Andralojc KM, Huisken J, Bakkens J, Kamminga LM. 2019. Loss of the Polycomb group protein Rnf2 results in derepression of *tbx*-transcription factors and defects in embryonic and cardiac development. *Sci Rep* **9**: 4327. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40867-1
- Dai Y-S, Cserjesi P, Markham BE, Molkentin JD. 2002. The transcription factors GATA4 and dHAND physically interact to synergistically activate cardiac gene expression through a p300-dependent mechanism. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 24390–24398. doi:10.1074/jbc.M202490200
- Delgado-Olguín P, Huang Y, Li X, Christodoulou D, Seidman CE, Seidman JG, Tarakhovskiy A, Bruneau BG. 2012. Epigenetic repression of cardiac progenitor gene expression by Ezh2 is required for postnatal cardiac homeostasis. *Nat Genet* **44**: 343–347. doi:10.1038/ng.1068
- Dickel DE, Barozzi I, Zhu Y, Fukuda-Yuzawa Y, Osterwalder M, Mannion BJ, May D, Spurrell CH, Plajzer-Frick I, Pickle CS, et al. 2016. Genome-wide compendium and functional assessment of in vivo heart enhancers. *Nat Commun* **7**: 12923. doi:10.1038/ncomms12923
- Erwin GD, Oksenberg N, Truty RM, Kostka D, Murphy KK, Ahituv N, Pollard KS, Capra JA. 2014. Integrating diverse datasets improves developmental enhancer prediction. *PLoS Comput Biol* **10**: e1003677. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003677
- Garg V, Kathiriyia IS, Barnes R, Schluterman MK, King IN, Butler CA, Rothrock CR, Eapen RS, Hirayama-Yamada K, Joo K, et al. 2003. GATA4 mutations cause human congenital heart defects and reveal an interaction with *TBX5*. *Nature* **424**: 443–447. doi:10.1038/nature01827
- Garnatz AS, Gao Z, Broman M, Martens S, Earley JU, Svensson EC. 2014. FOG-2 mediated recruitment of the NuRD complex regulates cardiomyocyte proliferation during heart development. *Dev Biol* **395**: 50–61. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2014.08.030
- Gilsbach R, Preissl S, Grüning BA, Schnick T, Burger L, Benes V, Würch A, Bönisch U, Günther S, Backofen R, et al. 2014. Dynamic DNA methylation orchestrates cardiomyocyte development, maturation and disease. *Nat Commun* **5**: 5288. doi:10.1038/ncomms6288
- Gilsbach R, Schwaderer M, Preissl S, Grüning BA, Kranzhöfer D, Schneider P, Nührenberg TG, Mulero-Navarro S, Weichenhan D, Braun C, et al. 2018. Distinct epigenetic programs regulate cardiac myocyte development and disease in the human heart in vivo. *Nat Commun* **9**: 391. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02762-z
- Gómez-del Arco P, Perdiguero E, Yunes-Leites PS, Acín-Pérez R, Zeini M, Garcia-Gomez A, Sreenivasan K, Jiménez-Alcázar M, Segalés J, López-Maderuelo D, et al. 2016. The chromatin remodeling complex Chd4/NuRD controls striated muscle identity and metabolic homeostasis. *Cell Metab* **23**: 881–892. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.04.008
- He A, Pu WT. 2012. Mature cardiomyocytes recall their progenitor experience via Polycomb repressive complex 2. *Circ Res* **111**: 162–164. doi:10.1161/RES.0b013e3182635cbf
- He A, Kong SW, Ma Q, Pu WT. 2011. Co-occupancy by multiple cardiac transcription factors identifies transcrip-



- tional enhancers active in heart. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**: 5632–5637. doi:10.1073/pnas.1016959108
- He A, Ma Q, Cao J, von Gise A, Zhou P, Xie H, Zhang B, Hsing M, Christodoulou DC, Cahan P, et al. 2012a. Polycomb repressive complex 2 regulates normal development of the mouse heart. *Circ Res* **110**: 406–415. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.252205
- He A, Shen X, Ma Q, Cao J, von Gise A, Zhou P, Wang G, Marquez VE, Orkin SH, Pu WT. 2012b. PRC2 directly methylates GATA4 and represses its transcriptional activity. *Genes Dev* **26**: 37–42. doi:10.1101/gad.173930.111
- He A, Gu F, Hu Y, Ma Q, Ye LY, Akiyama JA, Visel A, Pennacchio LA, Pu WT. 2014. Dynamic GATA4 enhancers shape the chromatin landscape central to heart development and disease. *Nat Commun* **5**: 4907. doi:10.1038/ncomms5907
- Hoffmann AD, Yang XH, Burnicka-Turek O, Bosman JD, Ren X, Steimle JD, Vokes SA, McMahan AP, Kalinichenko VV, Moskowitz IP. 2014. *Foxf* genes integrate *Tbx5* and hedgehog pathways in the second heart field for cardiac septation. *PLoS Genet* **10**: e1004604. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004604
- Homsy J, Zaidi S, Shen Y, Ware JS, Samocha KE, Karczewski KJ, DePalma SR, McKean D, Wakimoto H, Gorham J, et al. 2015. De novo mutations in congenital heart disease with neurodevelopmental and other congenital anomalies. *Science* **350**: 1262–1266. doi:10.1126/science.aac9396
- Hota SK, Bruneau BG. 2016. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling during mammalian development. *Development* **143**: 2882–2897. doi:10.1242/dev.128892
- Hota SK, Johnson JR, Verschuere E, Thomas R, Blotnick AM, Zhu Y, Sun X, Pennacchio LA, Krogan NJ, Bruneau BG. 2019. Dynamic BAF chromatin remodeling complex subunit inclusion promotes temporally distinct gene expression programs in cardiogenesis. *Development* **146**: dev174086. doi:10.1242/dev.174086
- Inoue F, Ahituv N. 2015. Decoding enhancers using massively parallel reporter assays. *Genomics* **106**: 159–164. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2015.06.005
- Jin SC, Homsy J, Zaidi S, Lu Q, Morton S, DePalma SR, Zeng X, Qi H, Chang W, Sierant MC, et al. 2017. Contribution of rare inherited and de novo variants in 2,871 congenital heart disease probands. *Nat Genet* **49**: 1593–1601. doi:10.1038/ng.3970
- Kaltenbrun E, Greco TM, Slagle CE, Kennedy LM, Li T, Cristea IM, Conlon FL. 2013. A Gro/TLE-NuRD corepressor complex facilitates Tbx20-dependent transcriptional repression. *J Proteome Res* **12**: 5395–5409. doi:10.1021/pr400818c
- Kanhere A, Viiri K, Araújo CC, Rasaiyaah J, Bouwman RD, Whyte WA, Pereira CF, Brookes E, Walker K, Bell GW, et al. 2010. Short RNAs are transcribed from repressed Polycomb target genes and interact with Polycomb repressive complex-2. *Mol Cell* **38**: 675–688. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.03.019
- Lewis EB. 1978. A gene complex controlling segmentation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **276**: 565–570. doi:10.1038/276565a0
- Lickert H, Takeuchi JK, Von Both I, Walls JR, McAuliffe F, Adamson SL, Henkelman RM, Wrana JL, Rossant J, Bruneau BG. 2004. Baf60c is essential for function of BAF chromatin remodeling complexes in heart development. *Nature* **432**: 107–112. doi:10.1038/nature03071
- Luna-Zurita L, Stirnimann CU, Glatt S, Kaynak BL, Thomas S, Baudin F, Samee MAH, He D, Small EM, Mileikovsky M, et al. 2016. Complex interdependence regulates heterotypic transcription factor distribution and coordinates cardiogenesis. *Cell* **164**: 999–1014. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.004
- Maitra M, Schluterman MK, Nichols HA, Richardson JA, Lo CW, Srivastava D, Garg V. 2009. Interaction of Gata4 and Gata6 with Tbx5 is critical for normal cardiac development. *Dev Biol* **326**: 368–377. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.11.004
- Margueron R, Justin N, Ohno K, Sharpe ML, Son J, Drury WJ III, Voigt P, Martin SR, Taylor WR, De Marco V, et al. 2009. Role of the Polycomb protein EED in the propagation of repressive histone marks. *Nature* **461**: 762–767. doi:10.1038/nature08398
- May D, Blow MJ, Kaplan T, McCulley DJ, Jensen BC, Akiyama JA, Holt A, Plajzer-Frick I, Shoukry M, Wright C, et al. 2012. Large-scale discovery of enhancers from human heart tissue. *Nat Genet* **44**: 89–93. doi:10.1038/ng.1006
- Misra C, Sachan N, McNally CR, Koenig SN, Nichols HA, Guggilam A, Lucchesi PA, Pu WT, Srivastava D, Garg V. 2012. Congenital heart disease-causing Gata4 mutation displays functional deficits in vivo. *PLoS Genet* **8**: e1002690. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002690
- Molkentin JD, Lin Q, Duncan SA, Olson EN. 1997. Requirement of the transcription factor GATA4 for heart tube formation and ventral morphogenesis. *Genes Dev* **11**: 1061–1072. doi:10.1101/gad.11.8.1061
- Nord AS, Blow MJ, Attanasio C, Akiyama JA, Holt A, Hosseini R, Phouanavong S, Plajzer-Frick I, Shoukry M, Afzal V, et al. 2013. Rapid and pervasive changes in genome-wide enhancer usage during mammalian development. *Cell* **155**: 1521–1531. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.11.033
- Paige SL, Thomas S, Stoick-Cooper CL, Wang H, Maves L, Sandstrom R, Pabon L, Reinecke H, Pratt G, Keller G, et al. 2012. A temporal chromatin signature in human embryonic stem cells identifies regulators of cardiac development. *Cell* **151**: 221–232. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.08.027
- Pierpont ME, Brueckner M, Chung WK, Garg V, Lacro RV, McGuire AL, Mital S, Priest JR, Pu WT, Roberts A, et al. 2018. Genetic basis for congenital heart disease: revisited: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **138**: e653–e711. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000606
- Plath K, Fang J, Mlynarczyk-Evans SK, Cao R, Worringer KA, Wang H, de la Cruz CC, Otte AP, Panning B, Zhang Y. 2003. Role of histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in X inactivation. *Science* **300**: 131–135. doi:10.1126/science.1084274
- Pu WT, Ishiwata T, Juraszek AL, Ma Q, Izumo S. 2004. GATA4 is a dosage-sensitive regulator of cardiac morphogenesis. *Dev Biol* **275**: 235–244. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.08.008
- Rajagopal SK, Ma Q, Obler D, Shen J, Manichaikul A, Tomita-Mitchell A, Boardman K, Briggs C, Garg V, Srivastava D, et al. 2007. Spectrum of heart disease associated with murine and human GATA4 mutation. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* **43**: 677–685. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2007.06.004
- Roche AE, Bassett BJ, Samant SA, Hong W, Blobel GA, Svensson EC. 2008. The zinc finger and C-terminal do-

B.N. Akerberg and W.T. Pu

- mains of MTA proteins are required for FOG-2-mediated transcriptional repression via the NuRD complex. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* **44**: 352–360. doi:10.1016/j.jmcc.2007.10.023
- Salamon I, Saccani Jotti G, Condorelli G. 2018. The long noncoding RNA landscape in cardiovascular disease: A brief update. *Curr Opin Cardiol* **33**: 282–289.
- Schott JJ, Benson DW, Basson CT, Pease W, Silberbach GM, Moak JP, Maron BJ, Seidman CE, Seidman JG. 1998. Congenital heart disease caused by mutations in the transcription factor *NKX2-5*. *Science* **281**: 108–111. doi:10.1126/science.281.5373.108
- Schuettengruber B, Bourbon HM, Di Croce L, Cavalli G. 2017. Genome regulation by Polycomb and Trithorax: 70 years and counting. *Cell* **171**: 34–57. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.002
- Shirai M, Osugi T, Koga H, Kaji Y, Takimoto E, Komuro I, Hara J, Miwa T, Yamauchi-Takahara K, Takihara Y. 2002. The *Polycomb*-group gene *Rae28* sustains *Nkx2.5/Csx* expression and is essential for cardiac morphogenesis. *J Clin Invest* **110**: 177–184. doi:10.1172/JCI0214839
- Stankunas K, Hang CT, Tsun ZY, Chen H, Lee NV, Wu JJ, Shang C, Bayle JH, Shou W, Iruela-Arispe ML, et al. 2008. Endocardial *Brg1* represses *ADAMTS1* to maintain the microenvironment for myocardial morphogenesis. *Dev Cell* **14**: 298–311. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2007.11.018
- Stanton BZ, Hodges C, Calarco JP, Braun SMG, Ku WL, Kadoch C, Zhao K, Crabtree GR. 2017. *Smarca4* ATPase mutations disrupt direct eviction of PRC1 from chromatin. *Nat Genet* **49**: 282–288. doi:10.1038/ng.3735
- Steimle JD, Rankin SA, Slagle CE, Bekeny J, Rydeen AB, Chan SS-K, Kweon J, Yang XH, Ikegami K, Nadadur RD, et al. 2018. Evolutionarily conserved *Tbx5-Wnt2/b* pathway orchestrates cardiopulmonary development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **115**: E10615–E10624. doi:10.1073/pnas.1811624115
- Sun X, Hota SK, Zhou Y-Q, Novak S, Miguel-Perez D, Christodoulou D, Seidman CE, Seidman JG, Gregorio CC, Henkelman RM, et al. 2018. Cardiac-enriched BAF chromatin-remodeling complex subunit Baf60c regulates gene expression programs essential for heart development and function. *Biol Open* **7**: bio029512. doi:10.1242/bio.029512
- Takeuchi JK, Bruneau BG. 2009. Directed transdifferentiation of mouse mesoderm to heart tissue by defined factors. *Nature* **459**: 708–711. doi:10.1038/nature08039
- Torchy MP, Hamiche A, Klaholz BP. 2015. Structure and function insights into the NuRD chromatin remodeling complex. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **72**: 2491–2507. doi:10.1007/s00018-015-1880-8
- Trivedi CM, Zhu W, Wang Q, Jia C, Kee HJ, Li L, Hannenhalli S, Epstein JA. 2010. *Hopx* and *Hdac2* interact to modulate *Gata4* acetylation and embryonic cardiac myocyte proliferation. *Dev Cell* **19**: 450–459. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2010.08.012
- Ujhelly O, Szabo V, Kovacs G, Vajda F, Mallok S, Prorok J, Acsai K, Hegedus Z, Krebs S, Dinnyes A, et al. 2015. Lack of *Rybp* in mouse embryonic stem cells impairs cardiac differentiation. *Stem Cells Dev* **24**: 2193–2205. doi:10.1089/scd.2014.0569
- van Duijvenboden K, de Boer BA, Capon N, Ruijter JM, Christoffels VM. 2016. EMERGE: a flexible modelling framework to predict genomic regulatory elements from genomic signatures. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: e42. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1144
- Vieira JM, Howard S, Villa Del Campo C, Bollini S, Dubé KN, Masters M, Barnette DN, Rohling M, Sun X, Hankins LE, et al. 2017. BRG1-SWI/SNF-dependent regulation of the *Wt1* transcriptional landscape mediates epicardial activity during heart development and disease. *Nat Commun* **8**: 16034. doi:10.1038/ncomms16034
- Visel A, Minovitsky S, Dubchak I, Pennacchio LA. 2007. VISTA Enhancer Browser—a database of tissue-specific human enhancers. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**: D88–D92. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl822
- Visel A, Blow MJ, Li Z, Zhang T, Akiyama JA, Holt A, Plajzer-Frick I, Shoukry M, Wright C, Chen F, et al. 2009. ChIP-Seq accurately predicts tissue-specific activity of enhancers. *Nature* **457**: 854–858. doi:10.1038/nature07730
- Waldron L, Steimle JD, Greco TM, Gomez NC, Dorr KM, Kweon J, Temple B, Yang XH, Wilczewski CM, Davis IJ, et al. 2016. The cardiac TBX5 interactome reveals a chromatin remodeling network essential for cardiac septation. *Dev Cell* **36**: 262–275. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.01.009
- Wamstad JA, Alexander JM, Truty RM, Shrikumar A, Li F, Eilertson KE, Ding H, Wylie JN, Pico AR, Capra JA, et al. 2012. Dynamic and coordinated epigenetic regulation of developmental transitions in the cardiac lineage. *Cell* **151**: 206–220. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.07.035
- Wang L, Brown JL, Cao R, Zhang Y, Kassis JA, Jones RS. 2004. Hierarchical recruitment of Polycomb group silencing complexes. *Mol Cell* **14**: 637–646. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2004.05.009
- Wang Z, Zhang XJ, Ji YX, Zhang P, Deng KQ, Gong J, Ren S, Wang X, Chen I, Wang H, et al. 2016. The long noncoding RNA *Chaer* defines an epigenetic checkpoint in cardiac hypertrophy. *Nat Med* **22**: 1131–1139. doi:10.1038/nm.4179
- Whyte WA, Orlando DA, Hnisz D, Abraham BJ, Lin CY, Kagey MH, Rahl PB, Lee TI, Young RA. 2013. Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers at key cell identity genes. *Cell* **153**: 307–319. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.035
- Wilczewski CM, Hepperla AJ, Shimbo T, Wasson L, Robbe ZL, Davis IJ, Wade PA, Conlon FL. 2018. CHD4 and the NuRD complex directly control cardiac sarcomere formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **115**: 6727–6732. doi:10.1073/pnas.1722219115
- Xie L, Hoffmann AD, Burnicka-Turek O, Friedland-Little JM, Zhang K, Moskowitz IP. 2012. *Tbx5*-Hedgehog molecular networks are essential in the second heart field for atrial septation. *Dev Cell* **23**: 280–291. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.06.006
- Xue Y, Wong J, Moreno GT, Young MK, Côté J, Wang W. 1998. NURD, a novel complex with both ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling and histone deacetylase activities. *Mol Cell* **2**: 851–861. doi:10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80299-3
- Zaidi S, Choi M, Wakimoto H, Ma L, Jiang J, Overton JD, Romano-Adesman A, Bjornson RD, Breitbart RE, Brown KK, et al. 2013. De novo mutations in histone-modifying



Transcriptional Control of Heart Development

- genes in congenital heart disease. *Nature* **498**: 220–223. doi:10.1038/nature12141
- Zeisberg EM, Ma Q, Juraszek AL, Moses K, Schwartz RJ, Izumo S, Pu WT. 2005. Morphogenesis of the right ventricle requires myocardial expression of Gata4. *J Clin Invest* **115**: 1522–1531. doi:10.1172/JCI23769
- Zhou L, Liu J, Xiang M, Olson P, Guzzetta A, Zhang K, Moskowitz IP, Xie L. 2017a. Gata4 potentiates second heart field proliferation and Hedgehog signaling for cardiac septation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **114**: E1422–E1431. doi:10.1073/pnas.1605137114
- Zhou P, Gu F, Zhang L, Akerberg BN, Ma Q, Li K, He A, Lin Z, Stevens SM, Zhou B, et al. 2017b. Mapping cell type-specific transcriptional enhancers using high affinity, lineage-specific Ep300 bioChIP-Seq. *eLife* **6**: e22039. doi:10.7554/eLife.22039





Genetic and Epigenetic Control of Heart Development

Brynn N. Akerberg and William T. Pu

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol published online December 9, 2019

Subject Collection [Heart Development and Disease](#)

Epicardium in Heart Development

Yingxi Cao, Sierra Duca and Jingli Cao

Development of the Cardiac Conduction System

Samadrita Bhattacharyya and Nikhil V. Munshi

Long Noncoding RNAs in Cardiac Development

Michael Alexanian and Samir Ounzain

Formation and Growth of Cardiac Lymphatics during Embryonic Development, Heart Regeneration, and Disease

Dana Gancz, Gal Perlmoter and Karina Yaniv

Genetic Basis of Human Congenital Heart Disease

Shannon N. Nees and Wendy K. Chung

Genetic and Epigenetic Control of Heart Development

Brynn N. Akerberg and William T. Pu

***Xenopus*: Experimental Access to Cardiovascular Development, Regeneration Discovery, and Cardiovascular Heart-Defect Modeling**

Stefan Hoppler and Frank L. Conlon

Reptiles as a Model System to Study Heart Development

Bjarke Jensen and Vincent M. Christoffels

3D Anatomy of the Developing Heart: Understanding Ventricular Septation

Timothy J. Mohun and Robert H. Anderson

The Endocardium and Heart Valves

Bailey Dye and Joy Lincoln

Cardiac Morphogenesis: Specification of the Four-Chambered Heart

Vincent Christoffels and Bjarke Jensen

In Vivo and In Vitro Genetic Models of Congenital Heart Disease

Uddalak Majumdar, Jun Yasuhara and Vidu Garg

Cardiopharyngeal Progenitor Specification: Multiple Roads to the Heart and Head Muscles

Benjamin Swedlund and Fabienne Lescroart

The Chicken as a Model Organism to Study Heart Development

Johannes G. Wittig and Andrea Münsterberg

The First Heartbeat—Origin of Cardiac Contractile Activity

Richard C.V. Tyser and Shankar Srinivas

The Formation of Coronary Vessels in Cardiac Development and Disease

Lingjuan He, Kathy O. Lui and Bin Zhou

For additional articles in this collection, see <http://cshperspectives.cshlp.org/cgi/collection/>